UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,554	12/01/2005	Yoshio Kaji	Q85975	8537
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 10/27/200 ON, PLLC	8	EXAM	IINER
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			MAKI, STEVEN D	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	TON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandanment	10/522,554	KAJI, YOSHIO			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven D. Maki	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
This application is abandoned in view of:					
1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the composed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	f Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fil Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar	ice of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37).	quired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	otice of		
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is		
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	the attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interf of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical contents.		se the period for see	eking court review		
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:					
On 10-22-08, Steven Gruskin informed examiner that no response has been filed.					
	/Steven D. Maki/ Primary Examiner, Art Uni October 22, 2008	t 1791			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notic	e of Abandonment	Part of Pa	per No. 20081022		